Case: 17-50005 Document: 00514343466 Page: 1 Date Filed: 02/09/2018

## United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE **NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130** 

February 09, 2018

Ms. Jeannette Clack Western District of Texas, Waco United States District Court 800 Franklin Avenue Waco, TX 76701

> No. 17-50005 USA v. Harold Lindsay, III USDC No. 6:16-CR-143-1

Dear Ms. Clack,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate and a copy of the court's opinion.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk Melinsa Martingly

Melissa V. Mattingly, Deputy Clerk 504-310-7719

cc w/encl:

Mr. John Richard Donahue

Ms. Jennifer Sheffield Freel

Mr. Joseph H. Gay Jr.

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-50005 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED**January 18, 2018

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

HAROLD ARCHIE LINDSAY, III,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 6:16-CR-143-1

\_\_\_\_

Before DAVIS, CLEMENT, and COSTA, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

Harold Archie Lindsay, III, entered a conditional guilty plea to being a felon in possession of a firearm. He was sentenced at the bottom of the advisory guidelines range to 30 months of imprisonment and three years of supervised release. Pursuant to his plea agreement, Lindsay reserved the right to appeal the district court's denial of his motion to suppress evidence. As he did before

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 17-50005

the district court, Lindsay contends that the search warrant obtained in his case was legally insufficient as it was based on a "bare bones" affidavit.

This court engages in a two-step inquiry when reviewing a district court's denial of a defendant's motion to suppress when a search warrant is involved. *United States v. Cherna*, 184 F.3d 403, 407 (5th Cir. 1999). First, the court determines whether the good faith exception to the exclusionary rule announced in *United States v. Leon*, 468 U.S. 897 (1984), applies. *Cherna*, 184 F.3d at 407. If so, no further analysis is conducted and the district court's denial of the motion to suppress will be affirmed. *Id.* If the good faith exception does not apply, the court proceeds to the second step, "ensur[ing] that the magistrate had a substantial basis for . . . concluding that probable cause existed." *Id.* (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

Although the affidavit in the instant case could have provided more information regarding details of the controlled buy, the affidavit did not contain "wholly conclusory statements" that were "so lacking in indicia of probable cause as to render official belief in [their] existence entirely unreasonable." *United States v. Satterwhite*, 980 F.2d 317, 320-21 (5th Cir. 1992) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). Given that affidavits must be construed in a commonsense manner, see *United States v. Ventresca*, 380 U.S. 102, 108 (1965), with great deference given to a magistrate judge's determination of probable cause, the district court did not err in finding that the affidavit in the instant case was not bare bones. *See United States v. McKnight*, 953 F.2d 898, 905 (5th Cir. 1992). As such, it is unnecessary to determine if probable cause in fact existed, because the good-faith exception applies. *See Cherna*, 184 F.3d at 407.

AFFIRMED.

Case: 17-50005 Document: 00514343462 Page: 1 Date Filed: 02/09/2018

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-50005 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED
January 18, 2018

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

D.C. Docket No. 6:16-CR-143-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

HAROLD ARCHIE LINDSAY, III,

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas

Before DAVIS, CLEMENT and COSTA, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on file.

It is ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the District Court is affirmed.



Certified as a true copy and issued as the mandate on Feb 09, 2018

ittest:

Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit